# RECENT ADVANCES IN LOW 02 AND LOW ETHYLENE STORAGE OF APPLES IN POLAND

# Edward Lange, Dept. of Fruit Storage Institute of Pomology and Floriculture Skierniewice, Poland

The apple production in Poland in the period 1981-1984 ranged from 1.7 to 2.1 million tons annually. Part of the crop are summer apples. The main apple cultivars are McIntosh, Spartan, Idared, Cortland, Jonathan, Bancroft, Melrose, and some other cultivars of minor importance. Polish growers are encountering great difficulties in marketing apples because a tremendous amount of apples is supplied on the market in a rather short time.

The total apple storage capacity in Poland amounts only less than 500 thousand tons. However, only about 100 thousand tons of apples are stored in refrigerated stores, and about 400 thousand tons in common stores, cooled by cold air during the night. Apples stored in common stores ripen too fast, loose their quality and contribute to the excess supply of the market.

Therefore there exists a great interest in extending the storage period and in raising the quality of apples supplied on the market. Controlled atmosphere storage in addition to expanding the amount of refrigerated storage in normal air should be developed, to improve the situation in the fruit trade. CA will enable extension of the storage period and supplying the domestic as well as foreign market with fresh, firm fruits in the spring.

The first CA rooms were constructed in 1968. Now the total capacity of CA stores amounts about 25 thousand tons of apples. Almost entirely domestic equipment is used in CA stores - activated carbon  $CO_2$  scrubbers,  $O_2$  converters, ethylene scrubbers and gas tight materials have been developed.

Experimentation with CA storage of apples began in Poland in 1962. Up to now approximately 20 apple cultivars have been tested. During the first years apples were stored in standard CA atmosphere of 5%  $CO_2$  + 3%  $O_2$ , and in 5%  $CO_2$  + 16%  $O_2$  and 0%  $CO_2$  + 3%  $O_2$ . The standard CA atmosphere 5%  $CO_2$  + 3%  $O_2$  proved to give best results - the softening of apples was the slowest.

#### Low Oxygen Storage

After North et al. (24) reported that Cox's Orange Pippen apples may be stored in 1%  $O_2$  at least for 28 weeks, and after Lougheed et al. (23) reported that McIntosh, Empire and Spartan apples may be stored in low  $O_2$ , we started research with low  $0_2$  storage of McIntosh apples in the storage season 1978/79. The results obtained with McIntosh after 150 days storage in 2%  $C0_2$  + 1-1.5%  $0_2$  were surprisingly good. Apples maintained very high flesh firmness (7.2 kgf) after storage at 3°C versus 8.5 kgf at harvest and 5.5 kgf at 5%  $C0_2$  + 3%  $0_2$ . This high firmness persisted even after additional storage for 7 days at 18°C. The overall quality of the apples was excellent. Apples stored in 0%  $C0_2$  + 1-1.5%  $0_2$  were as good or almost as good as apples stored in 2%  $C0_2$  + 1-1.5%  $0_2$  (Table 1). These two gas compositions retarded fruit softening the most. It was thus obvious that the threshold of  $0_2$  for apple storage is lower than 2% and that there exists possibility in storing apples in atmospheres with  $0_2$  close to 1%.

Further reports from abroad have shown that McIntosh (4,17,18,19), Golden Delicious (18), Spartan (23), and Empire (23) apples stored in low oxygen atmospheres maintain higher flesh firmness and better quality than when stored in the conventional controlled atmosphere.

Six year's storage results with McIntosh apples in Poland (12,13) have also shown that low  $0_2$  atmospheres retard ripening and flesh softening much more than the standard CA atmosphere 5%  $CO_2$  + 3%  $O_2$ .

Lowering  $0_2$  from 3% to 1.5% and maintaining it in a range within  $\pm 0.2$ -0.3 results in higher fruit firmness and acidity (Table 1) and juice content after cold storage and after a 7-day shelf life period, than conventionally stored apples. The retention of firmness of apples stored in 2%  $C0_2 + 2\% 0_2$  is very much like that of apples stored in 5%  $C0_2 + 3\% 0_2$ . Two percent of  $0_2$  is still too much to retard significantly softening and firmness loss. However, the most significant effect on retarding softening was in 1%  $C0_2 + 1\% 0_2$ . Apples stored in 1%  $C0_2 + 1\% 0_2$  and in 2%  $C0_2$  maintained the highest flesh firmness and titratable acidity and looked very much like apples freshly harvested. When these apples were stored at 18° to 20°C for several weeks they did not show symptoms of normal ripening.

McIntosh apples stored in  $2\% CO_2 + 1.5\% O_2$ ,  $0\% CO_2 + 1.5\% O_2$ ,  $1\% CO_2 + 1\% O_2^2$ , and  $0\% CO_2 + 1\% O_2$  have not shown core flush or any other physiological disorder. However, core flush was observed in fruits from  $2\% CO_2 + 1\% O_2$  atmosphere.

McIntosh apples stored in  $0\% CO_2 + 1\% O_2$  and  $0\% CO_2 + 1.5\% O_2$  were usually also firmer than those stored in  $5\% CO_2 2 + 3\% O_2$ . The skin colour of these apples was usually bright green, more appealing to consumers, than of those stored in low  $O_2$  with  $CO_2$ .

Fruits of other cultivars, like Spartan and Melrose, and also Bancroft and Cortland (results not shown here) retained the highest flesh (14,15,16) firmness, similarly to McIntosh, in 2% CO<sub>2</sub> + 1.5% O<sub>2</sub>. The only exception was Idared apples, which have shown core flush in every gas composition applied. Up to now we have had bad experience with CA storage of Idared apples, and the best storage results were obtained by the farmers when stored in common stores. We have never observed low oxygen

preclimacteric stage is a necessary condition for apples to be benefited by ethylene removal in CA for the studies conducted in 1980-84, the fruit of the apple cultivar McIntosh, Spartan and Golden Delicious were harvested in preclimacteric stage. To verify whether or not the apples were preclimacteric the ethylene content in the seed cavities of fruits was measured. For the storage season 1980-81 McIntosh apples were picked at the time when the commercial apples were harvested. For the storage season 1984-85 McIntosh apples were harvested twice for the experiment. The first picking was at the time of commercial harvest on September 19 when the apples contained less than 0.1 ppm ethylene. The second picking was on September 27, and the apples still had a low internal ethylene level. It may be mentioned here that one year earlier, in 1983, McIntosh apples from trees on another rootstock contained very high internal ethylene by September 2. This means that under climatic conditions in Poland the maturity of apples differs from year to year very much. This is influenced mostly by climate but also by rootstocks of which several types are used in Poland.

In the preliminary studies in the season 1980-81 McIntosh apples stored in low ethylene CA with  $2\% CO_2 + 1.5\% O_2$  were significantly firmer than apples stored in high ethylene. In  $5\% CO_2 + 3\% O_2$  there were no differences in firmness. In the storage season 1984-85 McIntosh apples of both harvests were preclimacteric. Apples of both pickings stored in 5% $CO_2 + 3\% O_2$  with ethylene at less than 1 ppm were significantly firmer after storage at  $3^{\circ}$ C and after additional storage at  $18^{\circ}$ C than apples stored under high ethylene conditions. Apples from second harvest stored in  $2\% CO_2 + 2\% O_2$  LE,  $0\% CO_2 + 1\% O_2$  LE and in  $5\% CO_2 + 3\% O_2$  LE were also significantly firmer than apples stored with ethylene at a high level. However, after the storage at  $18^{\circ}$ C the differences in flesh firmness leveled off. It should be noticed that apples stored under low ethylene conditions exhibited slight core flush.

Spartan apples used for experiment in the storage season 1984-85 were harvested on September 26 and October 4. At the first harvest 7 apples out of 10 had an ethylene content higher than 0.1 ppm and 3 apples contained 5-10 ppm. At the second harvest 9 out of 10 apples had more than 0.1 ppm and 5 apples contained more than 10 ppm. The apples were stored in similar atmospheres as McIntosh apples. Only apples which were stored in 5%  $CO_2 + 3\% O_2$  responded to ethylene removal and the firmness of these apples stored under low ethylene (LE) conditions was significantly higher than that of apples stored under high ethylene conditions. Here again, as with McIntosh apples, the flesh firmness after additional storage for 7 days at 18°C leveled off. There were no significant differences in acid content between apples stored in CA under high (HE) and low (LE) ethylene level. Spartan apples from first picking stored in 5%  $CO_2 + 3\% O_2$  LE exhibited core flush after additional storage for 7 days at 18°C (Table 2,3).

Golden Delicious apples harvested at the preclimacteric stage were stored in two seasons. For the 1982-83 season apples were harvested twice, on Sept. 28 (immature) and October 7 (mature). Apples from both In conclusion it can be said that low oxygen levels of 1 and 1.5% with CO<sub>2</sub> at 1 and 2% during the CA storage of McIntosh apples strongly retard flesh softening in comparison with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 3% O<sub>2</sub>. Retardation of flesh softening in 2% CO<sub>2</sub> + 2% O<sub>2</sub> is similar to that in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> + 3% O<sub>2</sub>. The gas composition 2% CO<sub>2</sub> + 1% O<sub>2</sub> may cause core flush and it is proposed to lower CO<sub>2</sub> content if the oxygen is maintained on the level of 1%. While storing McIntosh apples in 1% CO<sub>2</sub> + 1% O<sub>2</sub> strongly retards softening, it leads to abnormal ripening. Storing of McIntosh apples in 0% CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres with O<sub>2</sub> at 1 to 1.5% retards softening but not as much as atmospheres with CO<sub>2</sub>, but this is better than the standard CA of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> + 3% O<sub>2</sub>. Gas composition of 0% CO<sub>2</sub> + 3% O<sub>2</sub> is of no value for McIntosh apple storage. For the long term CA storage of McIntosh apples in Poland, the best atmospheres to employ are 2% CO<sub>2</sub> + 1.5% O<sub>2</sub> or 1% CO<sub>2</sub> + 1.5% O<sub>2</sub>.

### Low Ethylene Storage

Since the work of Kidd and West in England it has been known that limiting oxygen supply to fruit retarded ripening and that ethylene is responsible for ripening. Further studies have also shown that ethylene produced by the fruits itself promotes ripening and senescence of apples stored in CA and hypobaric conditions (8,1). It was reported also that fruits should be in the preclimacteric stage to be benefited by ethylene removal (20).

In 1969 Forsyth et al. (7) reported that McIntosh apples stored for 189 days at 3.3°C in CA with ethylene at a low level were 2 lbs higher in flesh firmness than apples from atmospheres with ethylene. Then other researchers confirmed the information that lowering ethylene in CA retards flesh firmness loss of apples (2,9,10,13,20,21,22,25). Among the methods applied for ethylene removal, very effective was the LPS storage of apples (3). Dilley has shown (5,6) that McIntosh apples and apples of other cultivars stored under 0.1 atmosphere storage pressure maintained very high flesh firmness. Fruits stored under hypobaric conditions ripened and softened slowly because no ripening took place under hyponormal ethylene It is well known for many years that only few ppm of ethylene in level. the atmosphere is sufficient to cause fruit ripening, and it is also well known that ethylene accumulates in CA atmospheres to several hundred or even thousand ppm. It is necessary and now possible to remove most of the ethylene from the environment of the fruit. The ethylene inside rather than outside the fruit is involved in ripening, it is necessary to restrict ethylene production by the fruit and to inhibit the action of The ethylene content in the fruit should be kept to less than 1 ethylene. ppm.

In our experiments the ethylene content in the atmosphere surrounding the fruit was maintained by a flow through ethylene scrubber with a heated catalyst. The ethylene scrubber worked in a closed circuit. Since the pickings responded to ethylene removal when stored 230 days in  $5\% CO_2 + 3\% O_2$  at  $3^{\circ}C$ , and from the second picking when stored in  $2\% CO_2 + 2\% O_2$ . However, after additional storage for 7 days at  $18^{\circ}C$  there were no differences in flesh firmness. In the storage season 1983-84 preclimacteric Golden Delicious apples also responded to ethylene removal when stored in standard CA at  $3^{\circ}C$ . After additional storage for 7 days at  $18^{\circ}C$  the flesh firmness differences still existed. The apples previously stored in standard CA maintained significantly higher firmness.

In conclusion, McIntosh, Spartan, Golden Delicious and Jonathan apples ripen more slowly during CA storage at 5%  $CO_2$  + 3%  $O_2$  when ethylene is removed than when ethylene is allowed to accumulate in the storage atmosphere. Ethylene removal during CA storage at 1%  $CO_2$  + 1%  $O_2$  delayed ripening of Jonathan apples but the other cultivars showed no differences whether or not ethylene was removed. The effects of ethylene removal during CA storage at 2%  $CO_2$  + 2%  $O_2$  were not always evident. Research is being continued. Table 1.

# Response of apple cultivars to low oxygen CA storage

Storage atmosphere * CO <sub>2</sub> + * O <sub>2</sub>	Flo fir k	esh mess gf storage	Tit mg m afte	ratable acids alic/100g f.w. r storage		ore .ush fruits	Fl bro ø of f	esh wning Truits	Super so of	rficial cald fruits	1 1 1 1 1	
	at 3°C	at 18°C	lat 3	°C at 18°C	lat 3°C	at 18°C	lat 3°C	at 18°C	at 3°C	at 18°C	i	
1	FE2222	*******	! !	3	! ! ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	4	   	5	CERSECS	6		7
<u>Mc Intosh</u> -	1978/79	); 150 de	ays o:	f storage;	harveste	d Sept.	20; firm	mess 8,5	kgf; ti	ltr.ac. 7	33 m	ид m.a./100g fw
Eormal	4,7	3,4			100	100	o	0	17	71		
5 + 3	5,7	5,1			ο	ο	ο	ο	0	ο		
5 + 16	4,9	4,6			17	83	ο	ο	ο	17		
0 + 2,5-3	5,3	4,7			8	100	41	50	ο	29		
0 + 1-1,5	7,3	6,0			ο	0	ο	6	0	0		
2 + 2,5-3	6,4	5,5			ο	ο	ο	2	o	0		
2 + 1-1,5	7,2	6,8			0	0	ο	0	0	0		
McIntosh - 1	979/80;	150 фау	a of	storage; h	rvested	Sept. 1	5; titr.	BC. 780 m	щ т.а./	100gfw.;	firm	ness 7.2 kgf
Normal	3.,8	3,5	335	278	17	65	0	0	16	50		.,
5 + 3	4,5	4,1	513	402	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2 + 1,5	5,9	5,1	525	444	ο	0	ο	0	0	0		
0 + 3	4,5	4,1	386	369	0,7	0	49	44	0.4	4		
0 + 1,5	5,3	5,0	451	412	0	0	7	5	0	0		
Heintosh - 1	980/81;	190 day	a of	storage: he	rvested	Sept. 16	3: firmn			T.80. 60/		
Normal	3.7	-	297	-	100	-	0	0	100	-	• •••6	m.a ./ 100g 1w.
5 + 3	5.5	4.7	503	-	0	12	0	0	0	0		
0 + 3	4.4	4.1	431		0	0	0	0	0.4	Ū		
2 + 1,5	6,7	5,8	511	-	0	0	0	0	0	0		
0 + 1,5	6,2	5,3	496	-	0	0	0	0	0	0		
AcIntosh - 1	981/82;	270 <sup>x</sup> day	8 of	storage, ha	rveated	Sept. 16	; firmne		of: +i+			7.8./100g ftr
Normal	3,3	-	-	-	85	-	0		-	-	-0	
5 + 3	4,3	-	410	-	0	15	ο	o	٥	o	0	11
2 + 1,5	5,5	5,2	415	-	ο	0	0	о	0	0		corky spots
1 + 1	6,2	5,4	455	-	0	0	ο	0	0	0		incidently
McIntosh - 1	982/83;	215 <sup>x</sup> day	6 of	storage; ha	rvested	Sept. 16	; firme	sa 7.9 k	ef: tit	г.ас. 760		m.8./100g fw.
Normal	3,7	-	-	-	46		-	-	2	10	-	-0
5 + 3	4,2	4,0	483	-	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2 + 2	4,7	4,1	476	-	0	ο	0	ο	0	0		
1 + 1	6,2	5,2	503	-	0	0	0	0	Q	0		

\*Apples from normal atmosphere removed ealier

Cont.table 1.

			<u> </u>				•		•		
1		2		3	4		1 5		! ! !		7
McIntosh - 19	84/85; 19	4 days	of stora	ge; harve	ested se	pt. 27	; firmese	5,5 k	gf; titr	.ac. 686	mg m.e./100g d
Normal	3,7	-	240	-	90	-	-	ο	-	-	
5 + 3	5,3	4,9	407	353	ο	10	0	0	0	0	
2 + 2	5,2	4,8	393	373	ο	0	0	0	ο	0	
2 + 1	5,7	5,5	430	413	0	15	0	0	0	0	
_ 0 + 1	5,4	5,4	437	403	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Spartan</u> - 197	9/80;180	days o	f storag	e; harves	sted Sep	ot. 25,	firmess	7,7 kg	f; titr.	ac.502 m	д щ.е/100g fw
Normal	4,8	4,3	218	164	4	44	0	0	0	0	
5 + 3	5,4	5,1	347	271	ο	1	0	0	0	0	
2 + 1,5	6,6	5,9	349	265	ο	0	ο	0	0	0	
0 + 1,5	5,6	5,2	315	263	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ipartan-1980/81	; 180 day	s of st	orage; h	arvested	Oct. 10	); firm	ness 7,6 1	gf; ti	tr.ac. 5	56 mg m.e	a.∕100g fw.
Normal	5,3	4,9	230	-	82	100	0	0	3	3	
5 + 3	6,0	5,4	360	-	35	48	0	0	0	0	
2 + 1,5	7,0	6,5	350	-	12	15	0	0	0	ο	
0 + 1,5	6,1	5,8	350	-	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Melrose - 19	79/80;20	O daya	of store	ge; ,harve	ested Oc	st. 16;	firmess	7,4 kg	f; titr.	ac. 494 s	ng m.a./100g f
Normal	4,6	4,4	375	-	0	0	0	0	28	51	
5 + 3	6,1	5,4	448	-	0	0	0	0	11	14	
2 + 1,5	6,0	5,5	429	-	0	0	0	0	8	11	
0 + 1,5	5,5	5,1	419	-	0	0	0	0	2	7	
Helrose - 19	160/81; 20	0 days	of stora	ge; harve	ested Oc	st. 20;	firmess	7,9 kg	f; titr.	ac. 657 s	ng m.a./100g f
Normal	5,8	5,5	370	-	0	0	0	0	43	57	
5 + 3	7,2	6,1	430	-	0	0	0	0	0	ο	
2 + 1,5	7,8	6,9	470	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0 + 1,5	7,3	6,6	480	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u> Idared</u> - 1979	/80; 200	days of	storage	; harvest	ted Oct.	. 12; f:	irmness 7	,6 kgf;	titr.sc	. 810 mg	m.a./100g fw.
Normal	5,4	5,1	362	-	0	10	0	0	ο	0	
5 + 3	6,8	6,1	407	-	0	36	0	0	ο	ο	
2 + 1,5	6,7	5,8	465	-	0	39	0	0	0	0	
0 + 1,5	5,6	5,1	426	-	0	22	0	0	0	0	
<u>Idared=</u> 1980/8	1; 186 da	ys of s	torage;	harvested	i Jet. 1	7; fir	mess 9,4	kgf, t	itr.ac.	583 mg m	.a./100g fw.
Normal	7,3	6,9	280	-	35	78	Ċ	0	ο	ο	
5.+ 3	8,8	7,7	330	-	0	99	0	0	0	ο	
2 + 1,5	8,6	7,5	300	-	0	83	0	0	0	0	
0 + 1,5	7,8	7,1	330	-	0	80	0	0	0	ο	

Table 2.

	fle	ah firm	ness /k	gf/ at h	arvest -	7,5; t	itratab:	le acids	/mg.mal	ic/100g	fw./ -	504
! Storage   atmosphere	l Flesh	firmes	! B after	storage	Titrat	l able ac rag	l ids aft e	er sto-	! ! Physicl !	ogical ( ≠ o:	disorde: f fruit	 5
		c	6-7 d	aya in: B°C	3°C		1 6-7 days in: 18°C		3°c		1 6-7 days in: 1 18°C	
	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE
5 + 3	5,5	5,7	4,3	4;7	502	468	-	-	0	0	0	0
0+3	4,4	4,3	4,0	4,0	389	431	-	-	100fb	100 <b>f</b> b	-	i -
2 + 1,5	6,7	7,2 <b>*</b>	6,0	5,9	<b>513</b> 2	511	-	-	0	0	0	0
0 + 1,5	6,2	6,1	-	-	496	451	-	-	0	0	0	0
N	3	,7	- 2		2		-	-	10	00ef	10	00cf

The effect of low ethylene controlled atmosphere storage on #cIntosh apples

a/ McIntosh - storage season and harvest day - 1980/81; Sep. 18; storage duration at 3°C - 190 days;

b/ <u>McIntosh</u> - storage season and harvest day - 1984/85, Sep. 19; storage duration at 3°C - 202 days; flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 5,8; titratable acids /mg malic/100g fw./ - 804

5 + 3	5,1	5,6*	5,1	5,5×	427	463	413	423	0	10ef	0	10cf 1
2 + 1	5,7	5,8	5,7	5,6	497	483	457	450	0	0	0	
0 + 1	5,7	5,8	5,7	5,7	467	477	433	457	0	0	0	0
2 + 2	5,5	5,6	5,1	5,4 <sup>#</sup>	440	433	397	407	0	10ef	C C	   5cf
1		1						! [;				

6/ <u>#cIntosh</u> - storage season and harvest day - 1984/85, Sep. 27; storage duration at 3°C - 194 days; flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 5,5; titratable acids /mg malic/100g fw./ - 666

5 + 3	5,3 5,	× 4,9	1 4,8	407	420	353	397	0	0	10cf	10cf
2 + 1	5,7 5,	5,8	5,2	430	460	413	420	0	0	15cf	0
0 + 1	5,4 5,	×1 5,5	5,3	437	447	403	400	0	1 1 15cf	0	0
2 + 2	5,2 1 5,	X 4,9	4,6	393	467	373	377	0	0	0	0
! N !	1 1 3,7	1 -	1 1 – 1	1 1 2/	40	-	-	90	Def	0	1

Notice: fb - flesh browning

cf - core flush

ss - superficial scald

 $\chi$  = means that differences were statistically significant

The effect of low ethylene controlled atmosphere storage on Spartan, Golden Delicious and Jonathan apples

Storage atmosphe-	Flesh :	firmess	after s	torage	Titrata	ble acid	after (	storage	Physi	lologica # of f:	l disorde ruits	9 <b>78</b>
re # CO <sub>2</sub> +	3	°c	6-7 da. 18	ys in : <sup>D</sup> C	3	°c	6-7 day 18 <sup>0</sup>	ys in : <sup>D</sup> C	36	°c .	1 6-7 days in : 1 18 <sup>0</sup> C	
۶0 <sub>2</sub>	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE	HE	LE	nE scenero	Là
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
5 + 3	5,40	5,80 <sup>×</sup>	5,03	5,40×	365	371	325	343	o	0	10 cf	20 cf
0 + 3	4,85	4,83	4,94	4,72	309	339	290	295	50 cf	55 cf	85 cf	60 cfi
2 + 1	6,17	6,21	5,81	5,85	390	370	406	340	0	<b>مد</b> 5	0	0
0 + 1	6,01	5,99	5,81	5,79	372	370	358	358	o	C	0	0
2 + 2	5,17	5,22	5,08	5,53	339	368	330	340	o	5 cf	0	5 cfl
N		1			! !				30	) cf	100	) cf

<u>Spartan</u> - storage season and harvest day - 1964/85, Sep.26; storage duration at 3<sup>o</sup>C - 202 days; flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 6,2; titratable acids /mg malic/100g fw./ - 571

<u>Spartan</u> - storage season and harvest day - 1984/85; Oct. 4; storage duration at 3<sup>o</sup>C - 194 days; flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 5,9; titratable acids /mg malic/100g fw./ - 532

5+3	5,35	5,67	5,18	5,10	335	333	286	311	0	0	30 cf	0
0+3	4,81	4,88	4,83	4,80	287	279	280	268	30 cf	35 cf	75 cf	55 cf
2 + 1	5,99	6,03	5,78	5,76	335	355	305	326	0	5 id	0	0
0+1	5,71	6,01	5,62	5,61	350	355	333	331	i o	0	0	5 cf
2 + 2	5,22	5,01	5,40	5,14	343	305	286	296	5 cf	io	0	0
I N					! !	! !	1	! !	! 4( !	) cf		

<u>Golden Del</u>. - storage season and harvest day - 1982/83; Sep. 28; storage duration at 3<sup>o</sup>C - 220 days; flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 8,7; titratable acids /mg malic/100g fw./ - 641

1 5 + 3	7,2	7,7×	6,7	7,0	502	1 518	-	-	0	0	1 0	0
1 2 + 2	7,4	7,4	7,0	7,6	502	504	-	-	0	0	0	0
i 1+1	1 7,6	7,5	7,3	7,5	525 1	1 561 1	i -	-	i 0	0	i 0	0

<u>Golden Del</u>. - storage season and harvest day - 1982/83; Jct. 7; storage duration at 3<sup>o</sup>C - 230 days, flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 6,3; titratable acids /mg malic/100g f#./ - 681

1 5 + 3	6,5	7,2*	6,8	7,2	494	1 500	! -	! -	e	e e	9	6
2 + 2	6,9	7,5 X	7,1	7,4	482	484	-	-	0	0	0	o
1 1 + 1	7,3	7,4	7,3	7,7	1 538	543 1	-	! -	0	i o	i o	0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1 5 + 3	7,1	7,6*	6,8	7,2*	377	391	204	210	0	0	0	10
2+2	6,5	6,8	5,4	6,7	336	415	198	216	i o	0	0	i o
1 1. + 1	7,2	7,2	6,7	7,0	399	392	225	237	0	0	0	i 0

<u>Golden Del</u>. - storage sesson and harvest day - 1953/54; Sep. 30; storage duration at 3°C - 207 days, flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 7,3; titratable scide /mg malic/100g fw./ - 472

Jonathan - storage season and harvest day - 1983/64; Sep. 26; storage duration at 3°C - 211 days; flesh firmness /kgf/ at harvest - 7,0; titratable acids /mg malic/100g fw./ - 703

5+3	6,0	7,8×	4,7	5,4×	534	531	285	267	0	1 0	0	0
2+2	5,1	5,7×	4,6	4,6	469	506	274	284	0	i o	0	0
1+1	6,1	7,0X	4,8	· 5,1×	517	516	284	307	i o	i o	i o	10

Notice: cf - core flush

id - intern. CO<sub>2</sub> damage

 $\chi$  = means that differences were statistically significant

# Literature <u>Cited</u>

- Bangerth, F. 1975. The effect of ethylene on the physiology of ripening of apple fruits at hypobaric conditions. In: Facteurs et regulation de la maturation des fruits. Collog. Int. C.N.R.S. 238:183-188.
- Blanpied, G.D., J.R. Turk, and J.B. Douglas. 1982. Low ethylene CA storage for apples. In: Controlled atmospheres for storage and transport of perishable agricultural commodities. Eds. D.G. Richardson and M. Meheriuk. Timber Press, Beaverton, OR 336-344.
- 3. Burg, S.P., and E.A. Burg. 1966. Fruit storage at subatmospheric pressures. Science. 153:314-315.
- 4. Dewey, D.H. and M.L. Bourne. 1982. Low oxygen CA storage of McIntosh apples. In: Controlled atmospheres for storage and transport of perishable agricultural commodities. Eds. D.G. Richardson and M. Meheriuk. Timber Press, Beaverton, OR 101-107.
- Dilley, D.R. 1972. Hypobaric storage, a new concept for preservation of perishables. 102 nd Ann. Rpt. State Hort. Soc. Mich. 102:82-89.
- 6. Dilley, D.R., P.L. Irvin, and M.W. McKnee. 1982. Low O<sub>2</sub>, hypobaric storage and ethylene scrubbing. In: Symp. CA storage and transp. perish. agric. comm. Timber Press, Beaverton, OR
- 7. Forsyth, F.R., C.A. Eaves, and H.J. Lightfoot. 1969. Storage quality of McIntosh apples as affected by removal of ethylene from the storage atmosphere. Can. J. Plant Sci. 49:567-572.
- Forsyth, F.R., and H.J. Lightfoot. 1977. Effect of ethylene and humidity on quality of stored McIntosh apples. In: Proceedings of the second National Controlled Atmosphere Research Conference, No. 28, Mich. State Univ., East Lansing, Michigan, USA. 97-107.
- 9. Knee, B., J.T. Cockburn, and J.B. Watt. 1975. Effects of ethylene at storage temperatures. East Malling Res. Sta. Rept. for 1974. p.79.
- 10. Knee, M., J.R. Stow, and J.B. Watt. 1976. Ethylene removal during storage of apples at various oxygen concentrations. East Malling Res. Sta. Rept. for 1975. p.79.
- 11. Lau, O.L. 1983. Effects of storage procedures and low oxygen and carbon dioxide atmospheres on storage quality of spartan apples. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 108:953-957.

- 12. Lange, E. 1984. The effect of ultra-low O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere on the storage behavior of McIntosh apples. Fruit Sci. Rep. V.XI, No. 3. 105-112.
- 13. Lange, E., J. Fica, H. Plich, and J. Wojciechowski. 1982. The response of preclimacteric McIntosh apples to ethylene removal from ultra-low oxygen atmospheres. Fruit Sci. Rep. V.X, No. 4.
- 14. Lange, E., and J. Fica. 1982. Storage of Spartan, Melrose and Idared apples in ultra-low oxygen controlled atmospheres. Fruit Sci. Rep. V.IX, No. 3.
- 15. Lange, E., J. Fica. 1984. Response of Golden Delicious apples to low oxygen storage, ethylene removal, and short term high  $CO_2$  treatment before storage in 5%  $CO_2$  + 3%  $O_2$ . Fruit Sci. Rep. XI, No. 3. 113-121.
- 16. Lange, E., and J. Fica. The storage response of Golden Delicious and Jonathan apples to ethylene removal from CA and to pre-storage short term high CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Fruit Sci. Rep. XI, No. 4. 159-168.
- 17. Lidster, P.D., R.F. Forsyth, and H.J. Lightfoot. 1980. Low oxygen and carbon dioxide atmospheres for storage of McIntosh apples. Can. J. Plant Sci. 60:299-301.
- 18. Lidster, P.D. 1982. Low oxygen atmospheres to maintain apple quality in storage. In: Controlled atmospheres for storage and transport of perishable agricultural Com. Eds. D.G. Richardson and M. Meheriuk. Timber Press, Beaverton, OR 109-120.
- 19. Lidster, P.D., K.B. McKnee, and K.A. Tanford. 1981. Responses of McIntosh apples to low oxygen storage. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 106:159-162.
- 20. Liu, F.W. 1977. Varietal and maturity differences of apples in response to ethylene in controlled atmosphere storage. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 102:93-95.
- 21. Liu, F.W. 1978. Effects of harvest date and ethylene concentration in controlled atmosphere storage on the quality of McIntosh apples. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 103:388-392.
- 22. Liu, F.W. 1979. Interaction of daminozide, harvesting date, and ethylene in CA storage on McIntosh apple quality. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 104:599-601.
- 23. Lougheed, E.C., J.T.A. Proctor, and S.R. Miller. 1978. Low oxygen storage of McIntosh, Empire and Spartan apples. Rep. Comm. Hortic. Research, Canada Hort. Council. p.252.

- 24. Lougheed, E.C., E.W. Franklin, S.R. Miller, and J.T.A. Proctor. 1973. Firmness of McIntosh apples as affected by Alar and ethylene removal from the storage atmosphere. Can. J. Plant Sci. 49:805-807.
- 25. North, C.J., M. Buble, and J.T. Cockburn. 1976. Storage of Cox's Orange Pippin apples in 1% oxygen. East Malling Res. Sta. Rept. for 1975. p.76-77.

.